

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,598	03/29/2004	Toshio Masuda	520.39649CX3	9708
20457	20457 7590 11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	KACKAR, RAM N		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22209-3873	1763		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/810,598	MASUDA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ram N. Kackar	1763
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Octoor This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 24-26 and 29-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 24-26 and 29-37 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the proper of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath of the oath of the o	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e

Art Unit: 1763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 24-26 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In this instance recitation of "spaced from the back surface of the plate at a sufficiently small distance so as to prevent substantially abnormal discharge thereat (threat)", is considered a new matter. Applicant's remarks pointing to the location in the specification where this additional limitation is supposed to be supported are not helpful. The specification discusses the diameter of the through holes to be sufficiently small (not the space from the back of the plate) to prevent abnormal discharge (See Paragraph 79). Further Paragraph 83 clearly discloses that there is no space between the through-hole 115B and the optical transmitter. Also, there is no such discussion related to Fig 9.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24-26 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "sufficiently small distance" is indefinite. This term is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the claim.

Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite since the plate of Claim 24 is recited to be made of <u>silicon and carbon</u>. This does not appear right since, from the context it appears that it could be made of silicon or carbon. For the purpose of this examination this is the interpretation given by the Examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Grimbergen et al (US 6390019).

Grimbergen et al disclose a process chamber (Fig 1-35) and disclose optical transmitter (135) with through holes to allow light for the sensor (Fig 1-160 or Fig 2-25) to pass through

Art Unit: 1763

holes (145), which allow the light to reach the sensor and reduce the possibility of deposition on the phase of the optical transmitter (Col 8 lines 35-43). Grimbergen et al teach that the aspect ratio of through holes depends upon particular process (Col 10 line 65- Col 11 line 2) and could be 12 (Col 10 lines 1-9). Further in (Fig 3a) Grimbergen et al teach optical transmitter arranged in the ceiling and teach that the plate in the ceiling (55) could be an electrode (Col 7 lines 44-50). Thus Grimbergen et al teach plasma chambers of different configuration where optical transmitter could be attached to ceiling through electrode as in Fig 3a and through shower head plate as in Fig 2 and still another arrangement as in Fig 6 showing that the placement of optical transmitter is possible in any mode of plasma generation.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have through holes in front of optical transmitter in order to have a longer use before cleaning or replacement by reducing the possibility of unwanted deposition.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 24-25 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimbergen et al (US 6390019) in view of Moslehi (US 5846883).

Grimbergen et al disclose a process chamber (Fig 1-35) and disclose optical transmitter (135) with through holes to allow light for the sensor (Fig 1-160 or Fig 2-25) to pass through

Art Unit: 1763

holes (145), which allow the light to reach the sensor and reduce the possibility of deposition on the phase of the optical transmitter (Col 8 lines 35-43). Grimbergen et al teach that the aspect ratio of through holes depends upon particular process (Col 10 line 65- Col 11 line 2) and could be 12 (Col 10 lines 1-9). Further in (Fig 3a) Grimbergen et al teach optical transmitter arranged in the ceiling and teach that the plate in the ceiling (55) could be an electrode (Col 7 lines 44-50). Thus Grimbergen et al teach plasma chambers of different configuration where optical transmitter could be attached to ceiling through electrode as in Fig 3a and through shower head plate as in Fig 2 and still another arrangement as in Fig 6 showing that the placement of optical transmitter is possible in any mode of plasma generation.

Grimbergen et al do not disclose the optical transmitter detachable to the outside of the vacuum vessel.

Moslehi discloses an optical transmitter of a longitudinal shape which could be detached from outside conveniently.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the configuration of the optical transmitter as in Moslehi for convenient installation.

The recitation "electric power applied to the plate" in view of the specification does not point to any positive structure feature since high frequency power is connected to electrode and the plate acquires voltage on account of its proximity and presence of plasma. This however is a functional limitation.

8. Claims 24-26 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimbergen et al (US 6390019) in view of Moslehi (US 5846883) and further in view of Wickramanayaka (US 6333601).

Grimbergen et al in view of Moslehi disclose electrode and at least a plate underneath but do not disclose this gas distribution plate made of silicon or carbon.

Regarding claims 26, 29, 36 and 37, top plates in plasma systems are usually made of silicon or carbon as taught by Wickramanayaka that Silicon or carbon is used in dry etching apparatus as top plate below electrode as gas inlet plate (Col 1 lines 55-58).

Further since silicon gas distribution plate is disposed under aluminum electrode and exposed to plasma as in the invention it will inherently have bias developed. As discussed above, this is only a functional limitation and no positive structure is implied by it.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have the lower plate also the gas inlet plate (below a conductive metal plate) made of silicon or carbons for dry etching applications.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments in response to 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph are noted with the interpretation that "power applied to plate 115" in view of the specification means voltage developed on it by its given configuration and not by direct connection of RF power, RF

power being connected directly to conductor plate of aluminum (111) above this gas distribution plate 115.

Applicant's arguments regarding new limitations are addressed in the rejection

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,598

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 8

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ram Kackar

Primary Examiner AU 1763